

<p>beer container deposits asking for assistance.</p>	<p>Encorp – eventually they will handle all liquor containers except refillable beer and beer cans. Believe contract has already been signed and Encorp now has contracts with depots and full deposits will now be given on everything except beer (refillable) – this includes cider.</p> <p>A list of what is accepted by Encorp has been requested by MOE to relieve confusion over deposit levels and will be posted on website.</p> <p>Laurie asked where to find annual report on return rates for alcoholic containers. JW indicated that report is posted on MOE website on stewardship page, under beverage containers. www.env.gov.bc.ca/epd/epdpa/ips (easiest just to google Ministry of Environment Product Stewardship). Reports will be better next year – not that great this year. She will make sure report is better next time.</p> <p>Eric – nowhere in East Kootenay for residents to get full refund for liquor and beer. Frustration with system – we are paying money that is supposed to be a deposit but in reality it isn't.</p> <p>Jenn Wilson needs this feedback – only one of her for all of BC. Partial deposits are a national issue. She has asked for a map of facility locations instead of a printed list. MOE is also requesting that information be posted at retail outlets as not everyone has access to computers.</p>	<p>know we are aware that MOE is following up on issue and that we are writing a letter a Encorp requesting reason for partial payment given new contract.</p>
<p>STEWARDSHIP ISSUES TO RESOLVE</p> <p>“Illegal dumping” of beverage containers.</p> <p>Lack of adequate collection depots for EPR items.</p> <p>Alcoholic container deposits.</p> <p>MOE review of Encorp plan.</p>	<p>Carol – container refunds in Kelowna are an issue. Number of beverage containers going into blue bags increased by 40 to 50% over last few years. Reflection of inconvenience of using depots – long line-ups, lack of parking. Causing social problems – homeless people gather at depots and customers just give them bags to avoid line-ups.</p> <p>Janine – lack of full return depots – no bans on stewardship products because facilities are not adequate. Transfer station waste stream contains recyclable beverage containers.</p> <p>Eric – EK – same issue as Janine.</p> <p>Nicole to Jen – in review, are you doing a full review of Encorp plan? JW - Yes – starting with Liquor Stewardship. Where is discussion regarding increasing deposit amount? This is a government discussion. Well known that increased deposits increases recovery rates but Encorp is concerned that amount of redeemed deposits will increase and as a non-profit have limits on how much money they can take in.</p> <p>New website launched where you type in your postal code</p>	<p>ACTIONS</p> <p>Write letter to Encorp asking why partial deposits are being paid at authorized Encorp depots. CC Jenn Wilson.</p> <p>If any RD has grievances, please send them by December 31 to Jenn. 250.356.5295 Jennifer.A.Wilson@gov.bc.ca</p>

<p>Beverage container deposits too low.</p> <p>Re-use component for Encorp electronics program.</p>	<p>and you can get locations where full refunds are given. For Carol, solution is increasing deposit to increase incentive. Commitment to recycling is there but interest in deposits is very low. Abandonment of containers is really “illegal dumping”. High value of land and shortage of labour are serious barriers. Jen – they are doing a beverage container review and examining minimum deposit level so having this type of feedback is very valuable. Be clear on what burdens and stresses are happening. Send to Jen by end of 2007.</p> <p>Laurie: Kitimat recycling depot wants Re-use component for electronics as part of their contract with Encorp but is being refused. JW: Electronic Stewardship is contracting with Encorp for end of life only, so need to take this up with Steward.</p>	<p>PO Box 9341 Stn Prov Govt Victoria BC V8W 9M1</p>
<p>NEW AND UNFINISHED BUSINESS</p> <p>Letter to Monica</p> <p>Packaging Stewardship Symposium</p> <p>Updated Terms of Reference Attachment B</p> <p>Level of Authority</p> <p>Meetings</p>	<p>Thank you letter to Monica approved. Noted that Monika’s position has been posted internally (Teamster position). Hoping to fill in next two months – her replacement will work with BCPSC and in the meantime Andrew will fill in.</p> <p>Metro Vancouver has contracted with Karen Asp of Gartner Lee to put together package for conference. Advisory Committee is being formed – multi-stakeholder. Invitations just sent out with Terms of Reference, indicating symposium objectives and format. Looking for speaker suggestions. Likely to happen Spring 2008. Maybe 100 people, half of which would be direct invite.</p> <p>Concerned that this process is unwieldy. Official positions should be endorsed by respective Boards and committee.</p> <p>Darcy: If Boards are familiar with Terms of Reference, then work carried out should follow from this without Board.</p> <p>Nicole asked if everyone’s Board has approved the Terms of Reference. Not everybody has. Authority will be given with endorsement of Terms of Reference.</p> <p>We need some language to determine parameters of authority but streamlining current proposal is needed. If we have specific issues need to decide how much support is needed before we move on action item i.e. 2/3.</p> <p>Raymond produced the T of R and maybe not everyone has studied them yet.</p> <p>Accepted without changes.</p> <p>Noted that Nicole has been asked to present on the work of BCPSC. RDNO will cover the costs of these meetings.</p>	<p>ACTIONS</p> <p>Darcy will work on the wording for level of authority in the Terms of Reference with co-chairs.</p> <p>Everyone to review existing Terms of Reference and provide comments.</p>

<p>Membership</p> <p>Proposal from Raymond Gaudart</p>	<p>Laurie asked why RCBC isn't listed as a member. Not known. Brock has joined several calls. LG proposed that RCBC be asked to join. Darcy added that Terms of Reference be amended to reflect RCBC membership. Carried.</p> <p>Supported by Carol and Nicole. Darcy - Membership fees should be a flat rate since some rural areas might need more attention. \$1000 seems reasonable. Add to terms of reference and take to our boards. Carried. Who would administer contract? Metro Vancouver – doesn't like to go to Board for small amounts of money. Can award contracts without a competitive process for small amounts of \$1000. Can UBCM pay Raymond? All RDs will have to pay someone.</p> <p>Carol – Okanagan RDs already have a system and they could do it but would prefer to have UBCM. Laurie –it is important that we all continue to be active so that Raymond is truly representing the whole province. Also good that we are formalizing this position as healthy organizations should always be thinking about succession planning.</p>	<p>Amend Membership in TofR to include RCBC and write letter to RCBC with official invitation to join.</p> <p>Amend TofR to include membership fee to fund staff position.</p> <p>Nicole to look after contract admin details for staff position.</p>
<p>Updated Principles</p> <p>3. Education</p>	<p>Janine – in general, we don't even have adequate service so education won't be too helpful. RD is taking the burden of disseminating information.</p> <p>Laurie – can unredeemed deposits be used for supporting RD administration?</p> <p>Jen reiterated the importance of providing the province with feedback on how well the stewardship programs are working on the ground. Unredeemed deposits are on the agenda as part of the Ministry review process. If you have insufficient service, please let the Ministry know.</p> <p>Janine – also want stewards to give RD direct information on what is available.</p> <p>Beth – yes, sometime RD has to seek out materials and pay for printing costs themselves.</p> <p>Carol – yes, the Electronics roll-out was very poorly supported with materials for RDs to use. Were denied extra materials from Encorp. And information on posters was not detailed enough for their region.</p> <p>Noted that the phone number given on brochure for large volumes of electronics goes to a dead line.</p> <p>Jen stated that the model is meant to relieve RDs of time and money needed to support recycling of materials under the Regulation - it is not the job of RDs to photocopy posters</p>	

<p>5. Compensation</p> <p>7. Bans</p>	<p>and brochures.</p> <p>Should we be dealing with our local MOE office? No – use the Victoria staff. Regional staff isn't involved with implementation of regulation.</p> <p>Will revisit at next meeting in interest of time constraints on this call.</p> <p>Issue of areas not being covered by EPR program so have to accept items at disposal facilities as waste. Having to drive two or three hours is not appropriate.</p> <p>Shannon – just ran an Electronics round up. Very put off by experience. Encorp process required a large amount of volunteer time during event and during lead up. Lack of advertising and materials that were supposed to arrive did not.</p> <p>Don Hamilton has an anti-Encorp campaign going.</p> <p>Wondering if some of these guiding principles should be legislated versus our responsibility. For example, no.3 should be regulated by Province.</p>	<p>Reword 7. Bans to include condition of adequate options existing before bans are enforced.</p>
<p>NEW ITEMS</p> <p>RDKS EPR Evaluation Tool</p> <p>RDCO letter re: raising deposits on beverage containers.</p>	<p>LG and Roger are developing a new tool to systematically review performance of EPR programs, identify gaps, and highlight actions RD can take to support EPR programs.</p> <p>Are people interested in receiving completed audit – starting with Used Oil, then ProductCare, then Electronics. Timeline is for end of November. Yes, please distribute.</p> <p>Carol is writing a letter to Jen for year end regarding raising minimum deposit for beverage containers.</p>	<p>Distribute completed RDKS audits to group for information.</p>
<p>NEXT MEETING</p>	<p>Nicole: New Terms of Reference including updated principles and instructions for endorsement by Boards by year end.</p> <p>Darcy: Would like to meet before end of year and move forward with this issue.</p> <p>Janine: Board meeting schedule may not allow for end of year adoption.</p> <p>Agreed to meet as a group to ratify Terms of Reference and bring to Boards – hope for an early spring adoption.</p>	<p>Chair to set meeting date and distribute agenda for meeting before December 31.</p>

